



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

07/683,549 04/10/91 NELSON

C LT-5 REISSUE

EXAMINER

PECKMAN, K

MARK D. ROWLAND
FISH & NEAVE
1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
50TH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10020

21M1

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2102

12

DATE MAILED:

03/05/93

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY

PART I.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to telephone conversation (2-18-93) and discussion with drafting
2. ☐ All the claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice Of Allowance And Issue Fee Due or other appropriate communication will be sent in due course.
3. ☐ The allowed claims are _____
4. ☒ The drawings filed on 4-10-91 (date of transfer) are acceptable.
5. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☐ been received. ☐ not been received. ☐ been filed in parent application Serial No. _____, filed on _____
6. ☐ Note the attached Examiner's Amendment.
7. ☐ Note the attached Examiner Interview Summary Record, PTOL-413.
8. ☐ Note the attached Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance.
9. ☐ Note the attached NOTICE OF REFERENCES CITED, PTO-892.
10. ☐ Note the attached INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION, PTO-1449.

PART II.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE to comply with the requirements noted below is set to EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE "DATE MAILED" indicated on this form. Failure to timely comply will result in the ABANDONMENT of this application. Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

1. ☐ Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL APPLICATION, PTO-152, which discloses that the oath or declaration is deficient. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION IS REQUIRED.
2. ☐ APPLICANT MUST MAKE THE DRAWING CHANGES INDICATED BELOW IN THE MANNER SET FORTH ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS PAPER.
 - a. ☐ Drawing informalities are indicated on the NOTICE RE PATENT DRAWINGS, PTO-948, attached hereto or to Paper No. _____. CORRECTION IS REQUIRED.
 - b. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ has been approved by the examiner. CORRECTION IS REQUIRED.
 - c. ☐ Approved drawing corrections are described by the examiner in the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT. CORRECTION IS REQUIRED.
 - d. ☐ Formal drawings are now REQUIRED.

Any response to this letter should include in the upper right hand corner, the following information from the NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND ISSUE FEE DUE: ISSUE BATCH NUMBER, DATE OF THE NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE, AND SERIAL NUMBER.

Attachments:

- Examiner's Amendment
- Examiner Interview Summary Record, PTOL-413
- Reasons for Allowance
- Notice of References Cited, PTO-892
- Information Disclosure Citation, PTO-1449
- Notice of Informal Application, PTO-152
- Notice re Patent Drawings, PTO-948
- Listing of Bonded Draftsmen
- Other

Kristine L. Peckman
KRISTINE L. PECKMAN
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2100

Art Unit 212

1. Two of the references cited on PTO-1449 by the applicant have not been considered because dates for the references were not provided.
2. Claims 56-80 and 82-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 56 and 70-80, line 13, the phrase "adapted to supply" is rejected as being functional and lacking structural support. The examiner suggests -- for applying --.

In claim 82, lines 34 and 35, the phrase "when the current sense signal compares in a predetermined manner to the error signal" is unclear.

In claim 83, line 2, the phrase "equals or exceeds" is vague and indefinite because the alternative is used. The examiner suggests -- is at least equal to --.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Saleh patent is cited as being of interest.

4. Claims 1-55 and 81 are allowable over the prior art of record.
5. Claims 56, 70-80 and 82 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.
6. Claims 57-69, 83 and 84 would be allowable if rewritten to

Serial No. 683,549

-3-

Art Unit 212

overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Kristine Peckman at telephone number (703) 308-0640.



STEVEN L. STEPHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 212

Peckman/sskp
September 25, 1991